

MASS.  
EL1.8:  
988-994

UMASS/AMHERST



312066016267647





*The Commonwealth of Massachusetts*  
*Executive Office of Elder Affairs*  
*One Ashburton Place, Boston, MA 02108*

WILLIAM F. WELD  
GOVERNOR

ARGEO PAUL CELLUCCI  
LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR

FRANKLIN P. OLLIVIERRE  
SECRETARY

TOM JON

INFORMATION MEMORANDUM

EOEA-IM-93-47

To: Home Care Corporations/Area Agencies on Aging

From: Franklin P. Ollivierre

Date: August 30, 1993

Re: 0 FY92 Annual Statistical Report

-----  
Enclosed please find the Annual Statistical Reports for  
Fiscal Year 1992.

GOVERNMENT DOCUMENTS  
COLLECTION

SEP 05 1994  
University of Massachusetts  
Depository Copy



## CASELOAD

On June 30, 1992, the last day of fiscal year 1992 (FY92), twenty-seven Home Care Corporations served 32,845 Home Care clients, 1,318 Respite Care clients, 881 Managed Care in Housing clients, 620 Protective Service clients, and 409 Congregate Housing clients.

The majority of the clients were served by only one program. Thirty-one thousand and eight hundred seventy-four clients (31,874) received home care services only. Nine hundred and sixty-two (962) clients received respite care services only. Eight hundred and seventy-eight (878) clients received managed care in housing services only. Three hundred and ninety-three (393) clients received protective services only.

Some elders were served by more than one program. Four hundred and nine (409) congregate housing clients received home care services. Three hundred and fifty-eight (358) clients received both home care and respite care services. Two hundred and four (204) elders received both home care and protective services. Twenty elders received both respite care and protective services. Three clients received managed care in housing services as well as protective services.

Counting clients who enrolled in more than one program only once, the twenty-seven Home Care Corporations served 35,101 clients (see Table 1).

## Caseload Trend

The "end-of-year" home care count in fiscal year 1992 (32,845) was higher than the count in fiscal year 1991 (32,706). This represents an increase of 0.4% over fiscal year 1991. In fiscal year 1992, the number of home care clients remained stable.

From June 1991 to June 1992, respite care clients increased from 1,156 in June 1991 to 1,318 in June 1992, a 14.0% increase. Clients who received only respite care increased 15.4%, while respite/home care clients increased 10.5%.

Managed Care in Housing clients increased from 382 in February 1992 (for 16 Home Care Corporations) to 879 in June 1992 (for 25 Home Care Corporations).

The rate of change in the number of clients varied by Home Care Corporation. From June 1991 to June 1992, Home Care Corporations with the highest increase in the number of home care clients were Tri-Valley (35.5%), Gloucester (17.5%), North Shore (16.4%) and Mystic Valley (11.7%). Home Care Corporations with the highest decrease in the number of home care clients were South Shore (9.8%), Cape Cod (7.9%), Boston III (7.5%), HESSCO (5.0%), and Springfield (4.9%). Home Care Corporations with the

largest increase in the number of respite care clients were Highland Valley (56.3%), Franklin (47.6%), and Tri-Valley (42.9%). Home Care Corporations with the largest decrease in the number of respite care clients were Minuteman (52.6%), Chelsea (25.0%), and Greater Lynn (20.0%).

### Age Profile

Among the 35,101 unduplicated clients, 1,394 clients were age 60-64 (4.0%), 7,825 home care clients were 65-74 (22.3%), 15,186 75-84 (43.3%), and 10,696 85 and over (30.5%). Eighty clients were age 100 and over; 873 were 95 and over; and 4,104 were 90 and over.

Among the 32,845 home care clients, 3.9% were age 60-64; 22.0% were 65-74; 43.4% were 75-84; and 30.7% were 85 and over. Among the 10,074 home care clients age 85 and over, 72 were 100 and over, 832 were 95 and over, and 3,847 were 90 and over.

Of the 962 clients receiving only respite care, 5.8% were age 60-64; 32.2% were 65-74; 42.7% were 75-84; and 19.3% were 85 and over. Six respite care only clients were 100 and over.

Among the 881 managed care in housing clients, 1.4% were age 60-64; 13.9% were 65-74; 42.9% were 75-84; and 41.9% were 85 and over. Two respite care only clients were 100 and over.

Among the 620 protective service clients, 10.2% were age 60-64; 33.1% were 65-74; 38.7% were 75-84, and 18.0% were age 85 and over.

The average age of all the unduplicated clients was 80. The average age of home care clients was 80. The average age of respite care clients was 77. The average age of managed care in housing clients was 83. The average age of protective service clients was 76.

The Home Care Corporations with the highest average age of unduplicated clients were Minuteman (81.7), West Suburban (81.6), and South Shore (81.0). Home Care Corporations with the lowest average age were Somerville/Cambridge (77.5), Boston III (77.7), and Central Boston (78.8).

Compared to the age structure of home care clients based on records on the last day of the past five fiscal years, the percentage of home care clients aged 85 and over slightly decreased after a continuous growth. (See Table 1.)



## Sex

Women comprised 81.2% of all clients and men comprised 18.8%. Among home care clients, 82.2% were female. Among respite care only clients, 51.1% were female. Among managed care in housing clients, 79.9% were female. Among protective service clients, 75.2% were female.

Compared to the sex composition of the last five years, the percentage of female home care clients slightly increased from fiscal year 1987 to fiscal year 1991, then decreased slightly in fiscal year 1992. (See Table 1.)

The Home Care Corporations with the highest percentage of male clients were Central Boston (22.9%) and Somerville (22.4%). The Home Care Corporations with the lowest percentage of male clients were Springfield (15.5%) and Berkshire (15.8%).

The average age of female clients was 80.2 compared to 78.6 for male. Among the male clients, 33.1% were age 60-74; 40.4% were 75-84; and 26.5% were 85 and over. Among the female clients, 24.5% were age 60-74, 43.8% were 75-84, and 31.7% were 85 and over. That is, about two-thirds of male clients (66.9%) were age 75 and over compared to three-fourths (75.5%) for female clients.

Table 1: Clients by Age and Sex from FY87 to FY92\*

	# of Clients	% Female	% 60-74	% 75-84	% 85+
FY92					
All**	35,101	81.2%	26.3%	43.3%	30.5%
HC**	32,845	82.2	25.9	43.4	30.7
RC**	962	51.1	38.0	42.7	19.3
MC**	881	79.9	15.3	42.9	41.9
PS**	620	75.2	43.3	38.7	18.0
FY91#	32,706	82.4	25.4	43.7	30.9
FY90#	38,154	81.9	26.3	44.4	29.3
FY89#	41,083	81.4	27.2	44.6	28.2
FY88#	44,291	80.9	27.7	44.7	27.7
FY87#	44,379	80.8	28.9	43.7	27.6
* End-of-year count.					
** All: all unduplicated clients; HC: Home Care Clients					
RC: Respite Care only clients; MC: Managed Care clients					
PS: Protective Service clients					
# Home care clients.					

### Functional Impairment Level (FIL)

Home Care Corporations served frail and impaired elders. On the last day of fiscal year 1992, 82.4% of home care, respite care only and managed care in housing clients fell into the frailer categories: FIL I - III. FIL I elders comprised 7.8% of the clients; FIL II, 24.7%; and FIL III, 49.9%. FIL IV accounted for 17.5% of the clients. The remaining 0.1% were FIL V or Special Service Need clients.

Among home care clients, 6.5% were FIL I; 23.9% FIL II; 51.2% FIL III; 18.4% FIL IV; and .04% FIL V or Special Service Need clients. Among respite care only clients, 53.3% were FIL I; 33.2% FIL II; 13.1% FIL III; and 0.4% FIL IV. Among managed care in housing clients, 8.5% were FIL I; 47.0% FIL II; 42.8% FIL III; and 1.7% FIL IV.

From June 1991 to June 1992, Home Care Corporations with the largest increase in the percentage of FIL I-III clients were Chelsea, Merrimack, and Gloucester. Home Care Corporations with the largest decrease in the percentage of FIL I-III clients were Tri-Valley, Worcester, and Montachusett.

Comparison with the last five years indicates that the proportion of FIL I - III home care clients increased. FIL I and II home care clients increased from 28.9% in fiscal year 1991 to 30.4% in fiscal year 1992. (See Table 2.)

Table 2: FIL Status from FY87 to FY92\*

%	FIL1	FIL2	FIL3	FIL4	FIL5	SSN	Total
FY92+	7.8%	24.7%	49.9%	17.5%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
HC**	6.5	23.9	51.2	18.4	0.1	0.0	100.0
RC	53.3	33.2	13.1	0.4	0.0	0.0	100.0
MC	8.5	47.0	42.8	1.7	0.0	0.0	100.0
FY91**	6.1	22.8	52.0	18.9	0.1	0.1	100.0
FY90**	5.6	19.3	50.1	22.4	2.3	0.3	100.0
FY89**	5.8	16.8	48.5	25.1	3.4	0.4	100.0
FY88**	5.7	14.6	46.8	27.7	4.7	0.4	100.0
FY87**	5.7	13.3	40.3	32.9	7.5	0.3	100.0

+ Combined HC, RC only, and MC clients. (Not including PS only clients)

\* End-of-year count.      \*\* Home Care Clients



## FIL, Age, and Sex

Clients age 85 and over were the frailest. Eighty-eight percent (87.9%) of the clients (not including protective service only clients) age 85 and over were FIL I-III compared to 81.0% of the clients age 75-84 and 78.6% of the clients age 60-74. In addition, 81.7% of males were FIL I-III compared to 82.7% of females. Males were more likely to be FIL I and II than females (35.9% vs. 31.9%). Taking both age and sex into account, female clients age 85 and over were more likely to be FIL I-III than other clients (88.5% for female age 85 and over vs. 85.2% for male age 85 and over, 80.7% for female age 75-84, 82.1% for male age 75-84, 78.6% for female age 60-74, and 78.4% for male age 60-74). (For a detailed breakdown by age, sex, and FIL, please see Appendix A.)

## Medicaid Types: Home Care Clients

Medicaid recipients comprised 36.8% of the home care clients. Among them, 19.0% were on the Medicaid Home and Community Based Waiver Services Program (2176). Among those on 2176 Waiver, 17.3% were Spousal Waiver clients.

Compared to the last two fiscal years, the proportion of Medicaid recipients has decreased. From July 1991 to June 1992, 2176 Waiver clients decreased 18.2%. The percentage of the Medicaid clients who were on 2176 Waiver decreased from 23.0% to 19.0%. The percentage of the 2176 Waiver clients who were Spouse Waiver increased from 8.0% to 17.3% in fiscal year 1992. (See Table 3.).

Table 3: Medicaid Home Care Clients By Type\*

	Spousal Waiver		2176 Waiver		Non-2176		Medicaid Clients	% Medicaid Clients
	(A)	(A)/(B)	(B)	(B)/(D)	(C)	(C)/(D)	(D)	
FY92	398	(17.3%)	2298	(19.0%)	9,793	(81.0%)	12,096	36.8%
FY91	225	( 8.0%)	2809	(23.0%)	9,423	(77.0%)	12,232	37.4%
FY90	225	( 6.7%)	3379	(23.9%)	10,766	(76.1%)	14,145	37.1%
FY89	456	(13.2%)	3460*	(23.6%)	11,199	(76.4%)	14,659	31.3%
FY88	154	( 9.5%)	1625*	(11.7%)	12,231	(88.3%)	13,856	35.7%

\* End-of-year count.



## Marital Status

On the last day of fiscal year 1992, 16.0% of the clients were married. About two-thirds (63.8%) of the clients were widowed, 6.6% divorced, 2.1% separated, and 11.5% single. This pattern of marital status was very similar to that in the last five fiscal years. (See Table 4.) (For a detailed breakdown by marital status, please see Appendix B.)

Table 4: Marital Status\*

Status	FY92**	FY91	FY90	FY89	FY88	FY87
Married	5,536 (16.0%)	14.1%	14.7%	15.7%	16.7%	15.7%
Widowed	22,070 (63.8 )	65.7	66.2	65.8	65.9	66.6
Divorced	2,282 ( 6.6 )	6.5	6.1	5.6	5.2	4.9
Separated	729 ( 2.1 )	2.2	1.9	1.7	1.5	1.4
Single	3,996 (11.5 )	11.5	11.1	11.1	10.7	11.3
Total	34,613 100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
* End-of-year count.						
** Include home care, managed care, and respite care only clients.						

## Living Arrangement

Three-fourths of the clients (74.0%) lived alone. Thirteen percent (13.4%) lived with spouse only; 1.1% lived with spouse and other family member/s; 10.0% lived with family member/s. Only 1.4% of the clients shared living with people outside of the family. This pattern had not changed much since fiscal year 1987. (See Table 5.) (For a detailed breakdown by living arrangement, please see Appendix B.)

Table 5: Living Arrangement\*

Living Arrangement	FY92 #	%	% FY91	% FY90	% FY89	% FY88	% FY87
Alone	25,591	(74.0%)	76.0	75.1	73.7	72.5	73.4
With Spouse	4,647	(13.4 )	12.0	12.5	13.8	14.5	13.4
Spouse + Family	387	( 1.1 )	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1
Family	3,470	(10.0 )	9.6	9.9	9.9	10.7	10.5
Family/Non-Family	13	( 1.4 )	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2
Non-Family	492	( 0.1 )	1.4	1.2	1.3	1.1	1.1
Total	34,600	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
* End-of-year count.							
** Include home care, managed care, and respite care only clients.							

## Minority Status

About 6.7% of the clients were from minority groups. Among them, 67.5% were Blacks, 18.7% Hispanics, 7.8% Asians, 3.8% Cape Verdean, and 2.2% Native American. Minority clients accounted for 6.9% of home care clients, 3.9% of managed care in housing clients, and 3.2% of respite care only clients. (For a detailed breakdown by minority groups, please see Appendix B.) The distribution of minority clients had not changed in the last five years. (See Table 6.)

Table 6: Minority Status\*

Ethnicity	#**	% FY92	Percent Among All Clients				Percent Among Minority				
			FY91	FY90	FY89	FY88	FY92	FY91	FY90	FY89	FY88
Black	1,571	4.5	4.7	4.6	5.0	4.8	67.5	68.4	68.4	69.1	70.8
Hispanic	436	1.3	1.2	1.1	1.3	1.1	18.7	17.8	17.1	18.0	16.1
Asian	182	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.4	7.8	7.5	7.6	6.1	5.9
Cape Verdean	88	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.3	0.2	3.8	4.1	4.7	3.6	3.2
Native American	50	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	2.2	2.1	0.8	1.0	2.2
Total	2,327	6.7	6.7	6.7	7.2	6.7	100	100	100	100	100

\* End-of-year count.  
 \*\* Include home care, managed care, and respite care only clients. Number of clients = 34,708

## Physical Environment

Fifty-seven percent of the clients (56.5%) lived in public housing, while 43.5% lived in private housing. Twenty-nine percent (29.1%) lived in buildings managed by a local Housing Authority; 18.5% lived in other subsidized buildings. About a quarter of the clients (24.6%) lived in their own home; 10.8% lived in rental housing or an apartment; 7.7% lived in a private home or other private housing. The detailed breakdown of housing types was very similar to that in the last five fiscal years. (See Table 7.)

Private housing clients were frailer than public housing clients. Eighty-seven percent of the clients living in private housing were FIL I-III compared to 78.7% of the clients living in public housing. The number of FIL I clients living in private housing was 2.9 times the number of FIL I clients living in public subsidized housing. (See Table 8.) (For detailed Housing Type by FIL information please see Appendix C.)



Table 7: Housing Types\*

Type of Housing	FY92(%)**	FY91(%)	FY90(%)	FY89(%)	FY88(%)	FY87(%)
Private Housing	43.5	41.0	41.1	42.9	44.5	43.9
Own Home	24.6	22.8	23.2	23.6	23.7	22.5
Rental Hse/Apt	10.8	10.9	11.3	11.7	13.0	13.2
Boarding Home	0.2	0.1	0.1	2.0	0.1	0.1
Rooming House	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Hotel/Single Room	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Private Home/other	7.7	7.1	6.4	5.6	7.6	8.0
Public Housing	56.5	59.0	58.9	57.1	55.5	56.1
Housing Authority	29.1	31.6	32.4	32.6	31.8	32.7
Other Subs Bldg	18.5	18.2	16.1	16.0	15.0	14.5
Rent Subs (Priv)	7.4	7.7	8.3	7.1	7.4	7.4
Congregate Subs	1.3	1.2	0.9	0.6	0.4	0.4
Other Subsidized	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.8	0.9	1.1
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
* End-of-year count.						
** Include home care, managed care, and respite care only clients. Number of clients = 34,679						

Table 8: Housing Category By FIL, FY92

	FIL1	FIL2	FIL3	FIL4	FIL5	SSN	Total
Private	2,035	4,329	6,836	1,906	0	3	15,109
%	13.5	28.7	45.2	12.6	0	<.01	100.0
Public.	703	4,233	10,464	4,160	6	4	19,570
%	3.6	21.6	53.5	21.3	0.1	<0.1	100.0

### Income

Eighty-eight percent of the home care and managed care clients (87.8%) lived in single households. The remaining 12.2% lived in two or more person households. In the single households, 38.6% of home care clients were Medicaid clients. About ten percent of all home care and managed care clients (10.1%) in the single households had incomes lower than \$6,843 but did not meet the resource requirements to qualify for Medicaid. About one-fifth (18.6%) of all home care and managed care clients had incomes higher than \$6,843 but lower than \$8,348; 16.6% fell between \$8,348 and \$9,851; and 10.0% fell between \$9,851 and \$11,657. (See Table 9.)



In the two or more person households, 23.5% of home care clients were on Medicaid. About eight percent of all home care and managed care clients had incomes lower than \$9,173 but did not receive Medicaid. Ten percent had incomes lower than \$11,508 but higher than \$9,173; 21.2% had incomes higher than \$11,508 but lower than \$13,827; and 9.8% had incomes higher than \$13,828 but lower than \$14,998. (See Table 9.) (For detailed income by program information please see Appendix D.)

Most respite care only clients lived in two person financial units (94.7%). About one-third (34.6%) of respite care only clients paid 3% of their service cost; 25.4% paid 5-13%; 18.2% paid 15-27%; and 22.1% paid at least 32%.

Table 9: Home Care and Managed Care Clients by Income					
Single Households			Two or More Person Households		
Income	Clients	%	Income	Clients	%
<\$6,844			<\$9,174		
Medicaid	11,097	37.6	Medicaid	951	23.0
Non-Medicaid	2,971	10.1	Non-Medicaid	332	8.0
\$ 6,844 - 8,347	5,507	18.6	\$ 9,174 - 11,507	425	10.3
\$ 8,348 - 9,851	4,890	16.6	\$11,508 - 13,827	875	21.2
\$ 9,851 - 10,878	1,967	6.7	\$13,828 - 14,998	404	9.8
\$10,880 - 11,600	992	3.4	\$14,999 - 16,167	369	8.9
\$11,658 - 12,434	788	2.7	\$16,168 - 17,337	255	6.2
\$12,435 - 13,210	555	1.9	\$17,338 - 18,506	223	5.4
\$13,211 - 13,987	371	1.3	\$18,507 - 19,675	138	3.3
\$13,988 - 14,763	224	0.8	\$19,676 - 20,846	101	2.4
\$14,764 - 15,540	178	0.6	\$20,847 - 22,016	65	1.6
Total	29,540	100.0	Total	4,138	100.0
			Grand Total	33,678	

### Client Characteristics by Program

The average age of home care clients was 80 compared to 77 for respite care only clients, 83 for managed care in housing clients, and 76 for protective service clients. About half of respite care only clients were male, compared to 18% for home care clients, 20% for managed care in housing clients, and 25% for protective service clients. Minority clients accounted for 6.9% of home care clients, 3.9% of managed care in housing clients, and 3.2% of respite care only clients. Respite care only clients and managed care clients were frailer than home care clients (99.6% FIL 1-3, 98.3%, and 81.6% respectively). Most respite care only clients were married (80%) and lived with spouse or family (98%). Managed care in housing clients were more likely to live alone than home care clients (85.4% vs 75.8%). Respite care only clients were more likely to live in private housing than home care clients and managed care in housing clients (87.5%, 43.3%, and 94.8% respectively). Managed care in housing clients were more likely to live in subsidized housing. In addition, 44.4% of non-Medicaid home care clients in single households had incomes lower than \$9,851, compared to 74.8% of managed care in housing clients.



## Appendix A: Clients by Sex, Age, and FIL

### 1. Home Care Clients

#### Males

##### Age

Range	FIL 1	FIL 2	FIL 3	FIL 4	FIL 5	SSN	Total	Percentage
60-64	31	62	169	73	0	0	335	5.7%
65-69	50	131	321	163	1	2	668	11.4%
70-74	83	189	434	223	0	0	929	15.9%
75-79	105	220	545	237	0	1	1,108	18.9%
80-84	125	287	592	232	0	0	1,236	21.1%
85-89	66	233	505	172	0	0	976	16.7%
90-94	45	130	224	66	0	0	465	7.9%
95-99	18	41	54	14	0	0	127	2.2%
100+	3	1	6	0	0	0	10	0.2%

Total	526	1,294	2,850	1,180	1	3	5,854	100.0%
%	9.0%	22.1%	48.7%	20.2%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	

#### Females

##### Age

Range	FIL 1	FIL 2	FIL 3	FIL 4	FIL 5	SSN	Total	Percentage
60-64	52	234	473	181	1	1	942	3.5%
65-69	151	485	1014	454	1	0	2,105	7.8%
70-74	184	781	1,756	839	1	0	3,537	13.1%
75-79	286	1,207	2,731	1,165	0	1	5,390	20.0%
80-84	339	1,518	3,446	1,217	0	1	6,521	24.2%
85-89	303	1,309	2,888	749	1	1	5,251	19.5%
90-94	179	774	1,373	242	0	0	2,568	9.5%
95-99	97	224	265	29	0	0	615	2.3%
100+	14	24	23	1	0	0	62	0.2%

Total	1,605	6,556	13,969	4,877	4	4	26,991	100.0%
%	6.0%	24.3%	51.8%	18.1%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	

#### Both Sexes

##### Age

Range	FIL 1	FIL 2	FIL 3	FIL 4	FIL 5	SSN	Total	Percentage
60-64	83	296	642	254	1	1	1,277	3.9%
65-69	201	616	1,335	617	2	2	2,773	8.4%
70-74	267	970	2,178	1,050	1	0	4,466	13.6%
75-79	391	1,427	3,276	1,402	0	2	6,498	19.8%
80-84	464	1,805	4,038	1,449	0	1	7,757	23.6%
85-89	369	1,542	3,393	921	1	1	6,227	19.0%
90-94	224	904	1,597	308	0	0	3,033	9.2%
95-99	115	265	319	43	0	0	742	2.3%
100+	17	25	29	1	0	0	72	0.2%

Total	2,131	7,850	16,807	6,045	5	7	32,845	100.0%
%	6.5%	23.9%	51.2%	18.4%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	

# Appendix A: Clients by Sex, Age, and FIL (Continued)

## 2. Respite Care Only Clients

### Males

Age Range	FIL 1	FIL 2	FIL 3	FIL 4	FIL 5	SSN	Total	Percentage
60-64	15	6	1	0	0	0	22	4.7%
65-69	31	17	12	0	0	0	60	12.8%
70-74	56	33	11	0	0	0	100	21.3%
75-79	70	31	11	0	0	0	111	23.6%
80-84	54	38	10	0	0	0	102	21.7%
85-89	18	27	11	0	0	0	56	12.0%
90-94	10	5	1	0	0	0	16	3.4%
95-99	1	0	1	0	0	0	2	0.4%
100+	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.2%
Total	256	157	58	0	0	0	470	100.0%
%	54.5%	33.4%	12.3%	0%	0%	0%	100.0%	

### Females

Age Range	FIL 1	FIL 2	FIL 3	FIL 4	FIL 5	SSN	Total	Percentage
60-64	17	11	6	0	0	0	34	6.9%
65-69	30	13	11	1	0	0	55	11.2%
70-74	51	33	11	0	0	0	95	19.3%
75-79	49	32	14	2	0	0	98	19.9%
80-84	46	43	9	1	0	0	99	20.1%
85-89	34	20	8	0	0	0	62	12.6%
90-94	20	6	7	0	0	0	33	6.7%
95-99	7	2	2	0	0	0	11	2.2%
100+	3	2	0	0	0	0	5	1.0%
Total	257	162	68	4	0	0	492	100.0%
%	52.2%	32.9%	13.8%	0.8%	0%	0%	100.0%	

### Both Sexes

Age Range	FIL 1	FIL 2	FIL 3	FIL 4	FIL 5	SSN	Total	Percentage
60-64	32	17	7	0	0	0	56	5.8%
65-69	61	30	23	1	0	0	115	12.0%
70-74	107	66	22	0	0	0	195	20.3%
75-79	119	63	25	2	0	0	209	21.7%
80-84	100	81	19	1	0	0	201	20.9%
85-89	52	47	19	0	0	0	118	12.3%
90-94	30	11	8	0	0	0	49	5.1%
95-99	8	2	3	0	0	0	13	1.4%
100+	4	2	0	0	0	0	6	0.6%
Total	513	319	126	4	0	0	962	100.0%
%	53.3%	33.2%	13.1%	0.4%	0%	0%	100.0%	



Appendix A: Clients by Sex, Age, and FIL (Continued)

3. Managed Care in Housing Clients

Males

Age

Range	FIL 1	FIL 2	FIL 3	FIL 4	FIL 5	SSN	Total	Percentage
60-64	0	2	4	0	0	0	6	3.4%
65-69	0	4	2	0	0	0	6	3.4%
70-74	0	6	9	0	0	0	15	8.5%
75-79	8	12	10	2	0	0	32	18.1%
80-84	7	22	20	0	0	0	49	27.7%
85-89	4	13	17	3	0	0	37	20.9%
90-94	3	10	7	0	0	0	20	11.3%
95-99	0	7	4	0	0	0	11	6.2%
100+	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0.6%
Total	22	77	73	5	0	0	177	100.0%
%	12.4%	43.5%	41.2%	2.8%	0%	0%	100.0%	

Females

Age

Range	FIL 1	FIL 2	FIL 3	FIL 4	FIL 5	SSN	Total	Percentage
60-64	0	2	4	0	0	0	6	0.9%
65-69	3	13	12	0	0	0	28	4.0%
70-74	7	38	26	2	0	0	73	10.4%
75-79	8	49	56	0	0	0	113	16.1%
80-84	15	75	90	4	0	0	184	26.1%
85-89	9	83	73	4	0	0	169	24.0%
90-94	10	62	34	0	0	0	106	15.1%
95-99	1	14	9	0	0	0	24	3.4%
100+	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0.1%
Total	53	337	304	10	0	0	704	100.0%
%	7.5%	47.9%	43.2%	1.4%	0%	0%	100.0%	

Both Sexes

Age

Range	FIL 1	FIL 2	FIL 3	FIL 4	FIL 5	SSN	Total	Percentage
60-64	0	4	8	0	0	0	12	1.4%
65-69	3	17	14	0	0	0	34	3.9%
70-74	7	44	35	2	0	0	88	10.0%
75-79	16	61	66	2	0	0	145	16.5%
80-84	22	97	110	4	0	0	233	26.5%
85-89	13	96	90	7	0	0	206	23.4%
90-94	13	72	41	0	0	0	126	14.3%
95-99	1	21	13	0	0	0	35	4.0%
100+	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0.2%
Total	75	414	377	15	0	0	881	100.0%
%	8.5%	47.0%	42.8%	1.7%	0%	0%	100.0%	

# Appendix A: Clients by Sex and Age (Continued)

## 4. Protective Service Clients

### Males

Age Range	HC/PS	MC/PS	RC/PS	PS ONLY	Total	Percentage
60-64	5	0	0	9	14	9.1%
65-69	4	0	2	25	31	20.1%
70-74	6	0	0	14	20	13.0%
75-79	11	0	1	19	31	20.1%
80-84	15	1	1	18	35	22.7%
85-89	1	0	0	14	15	9.7%
90-94	1	0	0	7	8	5.2%
95-99	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
100+	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
Total	43	1	4	106	154	100.0%
%	27.9%	0.7%	2.6%	68.8%	100.0%	

### Females

Age Range	HC/PS	MC/PS	RC/PS	PS ONLY	Total	Percentage
60-64	9	0	2	38	49	10.5%
65-69	23	0	1	56	80	17.2%
70-74	18	0	3	53	74	15.9%
75-79	34	1	2	54	91	19.5%
80-84	34	1	7	41	83	17.8%
85-89	28	0	0	27	55	11.8%
90-94	14	0	1	15	30	6.4%
95-99	1	0	0	3	4	0.9%
100+	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
Total	161	2	16	287	466	100.0%
%	34.6%	0.4%	3.4%	61.6%	100.0%	

### Both Sexes

Age Range	HC/PS	MC/PS	RC/PS	PS ONLY	Total	Percentage
60-64	14	0	2	47	63	10.2%
65-69	27	0	3	81	111	17.9%
70-74	24	0	3	67	94	15.2%
75-79	45	1	3	73	122	19.7%
80-84	49	2	8	59	118	19.0%
85-89	29	0	0	41	70	11.3%
90-94	15	0	1	22	38	6.1%
95-99	1	0	0	3	4	0.7%
100+	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
Total	204	3	20	393	620	100.0%
%	32.9%	0.5%	3.2%	63.4%	100.0%	

HC: home care; PS: protective service; RC: respite care;  
MC: managed care in housing



**Appendix B: Clients by Marital Status, Living Arrangement, and Minority Groups by Program**

	HC	MC	RC Only
<b>1. Marital Status - Single</b>	3,827	117	32
Married	4,663	101	772
Windowed	21,306	614	150
Divorced	2,242	35	5
Separated	712	14	3
<b>2. Living Arrangement - Alone</b>	24,825	752	14
Spouse	3,852	82	713
Spouse/Family	332	1	54
Family	3,268	29	173
Non-Family	469	17	6
Family/Non	11	0	2
<b>3. Minority - Cape Verdean</b>	87	0	1
Black	1,515	29	27
Hispanic	434	1	1
Native American	45	4	1
Asian	181	0	1

HC: home care; PS: protective service; RC: respite care;  
MC: managed care in housing

# Appendix C: Type of Housing by FIL, FY92

## 1. Home Care

Type of Housing	FIL I	II	III	IV	V	SSN	Total	%
<u>Public Housing</u>								
Housing Authority	274	1,972	5,210	2,172	1	0	9,629	29.3%
Other Subsidized Bldg	195	1,221	3,265	1,351	1	2	6,035	18.4%
Rent Subsidized/Private	103	517	1,338	523	0	0	2,481	7.6%
Congregate Subsidy	7	88	220	82	4	2	403	1.2%
Other Subsidy	5	14	34	14	0	0	67	0.2%
<u>Private Housing</u>								
Own Home	819	2,192	3,789	1,116	0	2	7,918	24.1%
Private Home	433	813	1,022	200	0	0	2,468	7.5%
Rental House/Apt.	303	955	1,800	553	0	1	3,612	11.0%
Boarding Home	5	24	31	8	0	0	68	0.2%
Rooming House	1	8	11	5	0	0	25	0.1%
Hotel/Single Room	0	2	1	0	0	0	3	<0.0%
Other Private	10	30	67	20	0	0	127	0.4%



# Appendix C: Type of Housing by FIL, FY92

## 2. Respite Care

Type of Housing	FIL I	II	III	IV	V	SSN	Total	%
Public Housing								
Housing Authority	21	12	9	0	0	0	42	4.4%
Other Subsidized Bldg	23	17	9	0	0	0	49	5.1%
Rent Subsidized/Private	16	8	4	0	0	0	28	2.9%
Congregate Subsidy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
Other Subsidy	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0.1%
Private Housing								
Own Home	332	182	72	3	0	0	588	61.1%
Private Home	71	54	17	0	0	0	142	14.8%
Rental House/Apt.	47	43	19	1	0	0	110	11.4%
Boarding Home	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
Rooming House	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
Hotel/Single Room	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
Other Private	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0.2%

# Appendix C: Type of Housing by FIL, FY92

## 3. Managed Care in Housing

Type of Housing	FIL I	II	III	IV	V	SSN	Total	%
Public Housing								
Housing Authority	25	191	184	8	0	0	408	46.3%
Other Subsidized Bldg	29	155	152	9	0	0	345	39.2%
Rent Subsidized/Private	3	15	20	1	0	0	39	4.4%
Congregate Subsidy	2	23	18	0	0	0	43	4.9%
Other Subsidy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
Private Housing								
Own Home	8	10	4	0	0	0	22	2.5%
Private Home	2	8	0	0	0	0	10	1.1%
Rental House/Apt.	4	7	3	0	0	0	14	1.6%
Boarding Home	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
Rooming House	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
Hotel/Single Room	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
Other Private	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%



## Appendix D: Clients by Income

### 1. Home Care Clients

#### Single Households

Income	Clients	%
<\$6,844		
Medicaid	11,097	38.6
Non-Medicaid	2,852	9.9
\$ 6,844 - 8,347	5,261	18.3
\$ 8,348 - 9,851	4,659	16.2
\$ 9,851 - 10,879	1,873	6.5
\$10,880 - 11,657	949	3.3
\$11,658 - 12,434	771	2.7
\$12,435 - 13,210	534	1.9
\$13,211 - 13,987	364	1.3
\$13,988 - 14,763	217	0.8
\$14,764 - 15,540	166	0.6

Total 28,743 100.0

#### Two or More Person Households

Income	Clients	%
<\$9,174		
Medicaid	951	23.5
Non-Medicaid	324	8.0
\$ 9,174 - 11,507	412	10.2
\$11,508 - 13,827	853	21.0
\$13,828 - 14,998	395	9.7
\$14,999 - 16,167	360	8.9
\$16,168 - 17,337	244	6.0
\$17,338 - 18,506	220	5.4
\$18,507 - 19,675	135	3.3
\$19,676 - 20,846	100	2.5
\$20,847 - 22,016	61	1.5

Total 4,055 100.0  
Grand Total\* 32,798

## Appendix D: Clients by Income

### 2. Managed Care Clients

#### Single Households

Income	Clients	%
<\$6,844		
Non-Medicaid	119	14.9
\$ 6,844 - 8,347	246	30.9
\$ 8,348 - 9,851	231	29.0
\$ 9,851 - 10,879	94	11.8
\$10,880 - 11,657	43	5.4
\$11,658 - 12,434	17	2.1
\$12,435 - 13,210	21	2.6
\$13,211 - 13,987	7	0.9
\$13,988 - 14,763	7	0.9
\$14,764 - 15,540	12	1.5

Total 797 100.0

#### Two or More Person Households

Income	Clients	%
<\$9,174		
Non-Medicaid	8	9.6
\$ 9,174 - 11,507	13	15.7
\$11,508 - 13,827	22	26.5
\$13,828 - 14,998	9	10.8
\$14,999 - 16,167	9	10.8
\$16,168 - 17,337	11	13.3
\$17,338 - 18,506	3	3.6
\$18,507 - 19,675	3	3.6
\$19,676 - 20,846	1	1.2
\$20,847 - 22,016	4	4.8

Total 83 100.0  
Grand Total\* 880







